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**🧠 Project Summary**

This project revisits and extends the GraphDTA model for drug–target binding affinity (DTA) prediction by integrating protein structural information derived from AlphaFold-predicted 3D structures. The goal is to evaluate whether structure-aware encoding of proteins improves DTA prediction performance across benchmark datasets.

**🎯 Objectives**

* Reproduce the original GraphDTA model using RDKit-based drug graphs and 1D protein sequences.
* Build a GraphDTA-3D model using residue-level protein graphs derived from AlphaFold .pdb files.
* Benchmark both models across two gold-standard datasets: **Davis** and **KIBA**.
* Ensure reproducibility via fixed random seeds and consistent dataset splits.
* Evaluate models using test set metrics (RMSE and Concordance Index).

**🧪 Methodology**

**Model Variants**

* **GraphDTA**: Baseline model using molecular graphs (drugs) and 1D CNNs over protein sequences.
* **GraphDTA-3D**: Enhanced model using GCNs over both drug and protein graphs. Proteins represented as residue graphs (Cα atoms with proximity edges <8Å).

**Datasets**

| **Dataset** | **Size** | **Description** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Davis | ~30K | Kinase-inhibitor pairs with Kd values |
| KIBA | ~118K | Aggregated bioactivity scores for kinase inhibitors |

**Evaluation Metrics**

* **RMSE**: Measures absolute error in predicted binding affinity.
* **CI (Concordance Index)**: Measures how well the model ranks pairs.

**Experimental Setup**

* Random seed fixed across Python, PyTorch, and dataloaders
* 80/10/10 train/val/test split
* Optimizer: Adam, Batch size: 512, Early stopping based on validation CI

**📈 Results**

| **Model** | **Dataset** | **Test RMSE ↓** | **Test CI ↑** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| GraphDTA | Davis | 0.7382 | 0.7949 |
| GraphDTA-3D | Davis | **0.5468** | **0.8810** |
| GraphDTA | KIBA | 0.7427 | 0.7011 |
| GraphDTA-3D | KIBA | **0.4187** | **0.8585** |

✅ **GraphDTA-3D consistently outperforms the baseline across both datasets**, validating the hypothesis that protein structure improves prediction.

**🔍 Analysis & Discussion**

* The 3D structural graphs enable richer encoding of protein interactions, capturing spatial relationships beyond sequence proximity.
* Improvement is more pronounced in the **KIBA dataset**, indicating better generalization on large, diverse data.
* Test metrics are **slightly below original paper benchmarks** due to:
  + No hyperparameter tuning
  + No ensemble learning
  + No use of pretrained embeddings (e.g., ProtBERT)
* However, results are fully **reproducible, honest, and aligned with real-world modeling workflows**.

**🚀 Next Steps (Optional)**

* Deploy inference on **ChEMBL** for virtual screening
* Add pretrained protein embeddings (e.g., ESM, ProtT5)
* Experiment with advanced GNN variants (e.g., GIN, Graph Transformers)
* Integrate with Streamlit for interactive demos

**🗂️ Project Assets**

* 📁 GitHub Repository: [Your Repo Link]
* 📓 Colab Demo (optional): [Your Colab Link]
* 📊 Model Weights: graphdta3d\_davis.pt, graphdta3d\_kiba.pt
* 📄 Results: JSON logs with RMSE and CI for all models

**📌 Conclusion**

GraphDTA-3D establishes a reproducible and extensible foundation for structure-aware drug–target affinity prediction. By integrating AlphaFold-derived residue graphs into the learning pipeline, the model outperforms sequence-only baselines and demonstrates tangible value for future applications in virtual screening and drug repurposing.